

BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP
William F. Abrams (SBN 88805)
william.abrams@bingham.com
David S. Cannon (SBN 209501)
david.cannon@bingham.com
Erin A. Smart (SBN 246288)
erin.smart@bingham.com
Sandra C. Zuniga (SBN 250881)
sandra.zuniga@bingham.com
1900 University Avenue
East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2223
Telephone: 650.849.4400
Facsimile: 650.849.4800

Attorneys for Defendant
Hewlett-Packard Company

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

7067

MMCA Group Ltd.,
Plaintiff,
v.
Hewlett-Packard Company, et al,
Defendant.

No. 07-~~6076~~ MMC (EMC)

[PROPOSED] ORDER SEALING
MATERIAL IN HP'S REPLY BRIEF
ON SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING ON
HP'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY
JUDGMENT/JUDGMENT ON THE
PLEADINGS

[L. R. Civ. P. 79-5]

[No Hearing Required]

Judge: Hon. Maxine M. Chesney

On November 6, 2009, Hewlett-Packard Company (“HP”) filed an Administrative Motion to Seal Material in HP’s Reply Brief on Supplemental Briefing on HP’s Motion for Summary Judgment/Judgment on the Pleadings (“Administrative Motion”) and a supporting declaration (“Declaration”) establishing that the designated material is subject to being sealed.

The Court, having considered the Administrative Motion and Declaration, finds the requested material, listed below subject to being sealed:

1. HP’s Reply Brief on Supplemental Briefing on Defendant HP’s Motion for Summary Judgment/Judgment on the Pleadings
2. Declaration of Rodolfo Diaz in Support of HP’s and PICA’s Supplemental Replies on Summary Judgment/Judgment on the Pleadings (“Diaz Decl.”)
3. The following Exhibits to the Diaz Decl.
 - a. Exhibit A
 - b. Exhibit B
4. The following Exhibits to the Declaration of David Cannon in Support of HP’s Supplemental Reply on its Motion for Summary Judgment/Judgment on the Pleadings
 - a. Exhibit A
 - b. Exhibit B
 - c. Exhibit C
5. HP’s Evidentiary Objections to Plaintiff MMCA’s Supplemental Opposition to Defendants’ Motions for Summary Judgment or Alternatively Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (“HP’s Objections to MMCA’s Supp. Brief”)
6. Exhibit A to HP’s Objections to MMCA’s Supp. Brief
7. HP’s Evidentiary Objections to the Supplemental Declaration of Morgan Cherry


8. Therefore, pursuant to Rule 79 of the Local Civil Rules of the Northern District of California, the Administrative Motion is GRANTED.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

Whenever filed, in whole or in part, with the Court in this case, the following material shall referenced above shall be filed under seal:

DATED: January 5, 2010, ~~2009~~

By:


Honorable Maxine M. Chesney
United States District Judge